

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Iress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/799,073

SUITE 1050

GATES & COOPER

HOWARD HUGHES CENTER

LOS ANGELES CA 90045

6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST

02/11/97

DAVIS

M

ST996505

LMC1/0815

l

EXAMINER

VU,T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2756

DATE MAILED:

08/15/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/799,073

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Davis et al

Thong Vu

Group Art Unit 2756



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 22, 2000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-8, 10-21, and 23-32	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on	d to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1,13 recites the limitation "the type" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8,10-21,23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Van Loom et al [Van Loom 5,790,802] in view of Sheedy et al [Sheedy 4,912,637]

4. As per claim 1, Van Loom discloses a method of transmitting a data segment in a stream using a write module of the type which implements a selected one of a plurality of versions of a streaming protocol [col 3 line 18-24]

outputting a first stream of data according to a first version of the streaming protocol [col 4 line 40-55]; sequentially appending additional streams of data to the first stream of data according to each subsequent version of the streaming protocol up to and including the selected version, if the selected version of the streaming protocol is not the first version of the streaming protocol [Van Loom col 10 line 63-col 11 line 16].

However Van Loom did not detail delimiting the data segment in the data stream begin and end tags. Sheedy taught a version management tool using a merging different version

module with begin and end tags [Sheedy col 14 line 60-67]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the control tags as taught by Sheedy into the Van Loom's system in order to utilize the module or object code to record the version of streaming data.

- As per claim 2, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose the step of receiving the data segment from a data stream. using a read module of the type which implements a second selected one of the plurality of versions of the streaming protocol, the receiving step including the steps of: receiving the first stream of data; if the second selected version is earlier than the first selected version, receiving each additional stream data according to each subsequent version of the streaming protocol up to and including the second selected-version, and disregarding any remaining data in the data segment; if the second selected version is equal to or later than the first selected version, sequentially receiving the additional streams of data according to each subsequent version of the streaming protocol up to and including the second selected version; testing, prior to receiving each additional stream of data, whether an end of the data segment has been detected, and if so, terminating reception of the data segment prior to receiving the additional stream of data according to the second selected version [Sheedy col 7 line 45-col 8 line 16; col 10 line 65-col 11 line 14].
- 6. As per claims 3,16 and 20, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose the data segment is an object or module [Sheedy col 4 line 15-27].

Application/Control Number: 08/799073

Art Unit: 2756

- 7. As per claim 4, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose the data segment includes all of the data necessary to reconstruct the object; wherein the data stream is serial as inherent feature of module [Sheedy col 4 line 15-27].
- 8. As per claims 5,17 and 21, Van Loom-Sheedy taught the testing includes the step of initializing object data that is not received from the data stream to a default value or original version [Sheedy col 14 line 25-30].
- 9. As per claims 6 and 18, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose the transmitting an object type or version type for the data segment; and receiving the object type, including the steps of allocating and initializing an object when receiving the data segment based upon the object type [Van Loom col 1 line 50-60].
- 10. As per claims 7-8, Van Loom-Sheedy taught the write module or read modules reside on same or different computer such as client and server as a design choice of module [Sheedy col 4 line 15-27].
- 11. As per claim 10, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose no additional tags are embedded in the data segment between the begin and tags as a design choice of module [Sheedy col 14 line 60-67].
- 12. As per claim 11, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose determining whether the data segment is stored in a current context for the data stream; if so, transmitting an alias tag in lieu of segment; and not, storing the data segment in the current context as a design choice of merging record [Sheedy col 10 line 65-col 11 line 5,30-45]
- 13. As per claim 12, Van Loom-Sheedy disclose the data is a non-random access data stream as a design choice of module [Sheedy col 4 line 15-27].

- 14. As per claims 13,19,24,28 and 29 contain the similar limitation of claim 1. By this rationale claims 13,19,24,28 and 29 are rejected.
- 15. As per claim 30 contains the similar limitation of claim 2. By this rationale claim 30 is rejected.
- 16. Claims 31 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached at (703) 305-4815.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-7201 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSAL" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu

Aug 14, 2000

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER